

**REMARKS**

Only independent claims 1, 11, 17 and 20 are presented for consideration by the Examiner. The subject matter of each of the dependent claims has been incorporated into their respective independent claim upon which the dependent claims ultimately depends. No new matter has been added by virtue of these amendments. All dependent claims have been cancelled. Every ground of rejection has been addressed in this response.

Regarding the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103, Applicant's counsel submits that the invention now defined in the independent claims are unanticipated, nonobvious and allowable. By making these amendments, Applicant does not intend to be hindered in his efforts to seek broader claims in a later filed related application.

None of the cited prior art references disclose, teach or suggest the combinations now recited in claims 1, 11, 17, and 20. The primary reference McKee does not teach or suggest the combination of elements now presented in the pending claims. Moreover, the secondary reference Karlyn does not add any pertinent teachings to those of the McKee reference.


In view of the foregoing, applicant believes that all of the claims are allowable and the same is respectfully requested. The undersigned welcomes a call from the Examiner if any impediment

to the allowance of these claims remains after entry of this paper.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee or to credit any overpayment in connection with this Amendment to Deposit Account No. 50-0836.

DATED this 13 day of December, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,



Grant R. Clayton  
Attorney Registration No. 32,462  
Attorney for Applicant

Clayton, Howarth & Cannon, P.C.  
P.O. Box 1909  
Sandy, UT 84091  
Telephone: (801) 255-5335  
Facsimile: (801) 255-5338

S:\CHC Files\T 9--\T99--\T9925\Response to Second Office Action After Final.wpd